



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

CLOSURE REPORT ON ASSERTIONS THAT [REDACTED]

REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0016-08

27 MAY 2008

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



TO: [REDACTED]
A: [REDACTED]

DATE: [REDACTED]
REFERENCE: [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]
DE: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Closure report on assertions that [REDACTED]
OBJET: [REDACTED]

1. On [REDACTED] the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) received a report of possible misconduct implicating [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

2. Specifically, it was reported that [REDACTED] uses a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to promote [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] who holds the position as [REDACTED], was purportedly to be [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED] who in turn, was being provided access to documents and other material as provided by [REDACTED]. The source of the information indicated that [REDACTED] between [REDACTED] would support the above assertions.

3. ID/OIOS conducted a preliminary investigation into the reported misconduct. A [REDACTED] records between [REDACTED] revealed no information to support the assertions other than the type of [REDACTED] one would expect between [REDACTED]. It was, however, confirmed that [REDACTED] is [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] an [REDACTED] [REDACTED] queries of the [REDACTED] however, provided a mixed review of [REDACTED]. One [REDACTED] commented how [REDACTED] was critical of proposals made by the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] regarding [REDACTED]. Another [REDACTED] criticized [REDACTED] over [REDACTED] failure to provide the [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] in relation to [REDACTED] disclosure.

4. Upon commencement of the preliminary investigation it was agreed that further inquiries would be contingent upon the establishment of prima facie evidence by means of the [REDACTED]. Such evidence however has not been obtained. In this regard both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were never appraised nor questioned on the assertions as noted. ID/OIOS has also taken into account the fact that [REDACTED] are not compelled to assist ID/OIOS during the course of its investigations, nor do they rarely, if ever, provide the source of their material beyond that of official subpoena. To approach [REDACTED] in this regard would

only open the Organization, ID/OIOS and/or ██████████ to negative ██████████ based on speculation and unsubstantiated assertions.

5. ID/OIOS concludes that there is insufficient evidence to warrant further inquiries on the assertions made and considers its investigation closed.

